



## Straight Talk

By Muriel Sluyter

## Filibusters Unlimited & Other Idiocies

30 June, 2005

### Greetings, Gentle Reader,

Let's look at some of the issues of the day: First, until a couple weeks ago, I had not thought it possible for Roe-v-Wade to be reversed. I hadn't realized that Democrats had anything to fear, so far as killing unborn babies was concerned. Then a pro-life judge explained how close this country is to reversing that law. He says there need be only one more pro-life judge on the high court to dramatically increase the chance of reversal, and that it is almost inevitable the abortion law will be reversed, and probably sooner rather than later.

Americans are better educated about the development of unborn babies and the excruciating pain they suffer during abortions, especially partial-birth abortions, and therefore, are becoming more pro-life.

Now, I can make sense of the relentless, fanatical, filibustering of President Bush's judicial nominees. If only one more pro-life judge is needed, and we potentially have four judges retiring – three of whom are extremely liberal or mostly liberal – the chance Roe-v-Wade will be overturned is substantial. This situation guarantees never-ending filibusters from now to 2009, no matter how many self-serving deals are cut in back rooms.

Next, following the outrageous attack on our men at Guantanamo Bay by Amnesty International, several Congressional leaders joined the unconscionable feeding frenzy, and let the whole world see what kind of people they really are. Senator Durbin's comment was among the most repulsive, and it cost him dearly with the public. Now that the charges have been exposed as false by a Congressional group that visited Guantanamo, the disgusting attacks on our servicemen by our own leaders have diminished.

Why American leaders would say these kinds of things about American military men and women, without even checking out the validity of the charges, is beyond me.

Now, let's go to the Karl Rove speech that caused mass apoplexy in the liberal world. You know, the one where he quoted from the petition by MoveOn.Org's Eli Pariser pertaining to the September 11 attack. No sooner had he repeated the things being both said and written immediately post 9/11, than payback for the attacks on Durbin began. Though he labeled those statements as having been made by liberals, which they were, Democrats came down on him en masse. That was

amazing, because MoveOn really is an extremely liberal outfit, and they're proud of it, so why all the hysteria? Politics! Who can explain it!

Now to the schizophrenic Supreme Court decision on the Ten Commandment monuments. There are 9 justices, and there were 8 opinions. What it hinged on was the intent of those who put up the monuments. If the original intent was religious, the monument is illegal. If it wasn't religious, the monument is legal. What kind of insanity is that?

Next, the charge of Evangelical Christians establishing an atmosphere of religious intolerance and coercion at the Air Force Academy: If there is no validity to a charge, good strategy demands it be made repeatedly and widely in the media. It must be done in drumbeat fashion. People only will believe it, if the charge is repeated constantly, endlessly. Anti-religious zealots regularly use this strategy to establish validity where there is none, as they are doing in this case.

Next, the UN. It would be hard to find a more corrupt organization, one that serves the equally corrupt governments it favors, and guess who is supporting all that anti-American and venomously anti-Israeli corruption? We are! The good old American taxpayer. We are such schnooks! We pay our enemies to undermine and attack us!

I say we confiscate the building and charge them exorbitant rent and taxes. If they don't pay, we evict them and send them to France. Anyone else out there agree?